Brunel Pension Partnership



Brunel Oversight Board Meeting

Minutes

Purpose:	To review Brunel/Client progress agree next steps
Date and time:	Wednesday 18 July 2018, 14:30 – 16:30
Location:	Brunel Offices, 101 Victoria Street, Bristol, BS1 6PU
Dial-in details:	Dial In: 0330 336 1949 Participant Pin: 889460

Pension Committee Representatives				
David Veale	Avon			
John Chilver	Buckinghamshire	Phone		
Derek Holley	Cornwall	Phone		
Rufus Gilbert	Devon			
Ray Bloxham	Devon			
Peter Wharf	Dorset	Apologies		
Joanne Segars	EAPF	Phone		
Hywel Tudor	EAPF			
Ray Theodoulou	Gloucestershire	Chair		
Kevin Bulmer	Oxfordshire	Vice-Chair		
Mark Simmonds	Somerset			
Tony Deane	Wiltshire			
Member representative of	observers			
Andy Bowman	Scheme member rep.			
lan Brindley	Scheme member rep.			
Fund Officers and Repres	sentatives			
Tony Bartlett	Avon			
Julie Edwards	Buckinghamshire	Apologies		
Sean Johns	Cornwall	Apologies		
Mark Gayler	Devon			
Richard Bates	Dorset			
Craig Martin	EAPF			
Mark Spilsbury	Gloucestershire			
Sean Collins	Oxfordshire	Chair – CG		
Anton Sweet	Somerset	Apologies		
Nick Weaver	Wiltshire			
Nick Buckland	JLT - Client Side Executive			
Sophie McClenaghan	JLT - Client Side Assistant	Minutes		
Brunel Pension Partnershi	p Ltd			
Denise Le Gal	Brunel, Chair	Apologies		
Steve Tyson	Brunel Shareholder NED			
Matthew Trebilcock	Brunel, CRD			

Dawn Turner	Brunel, CEO	
Joe Webster	Brunel, COO	
Mark Mansley	Brunel, CIO	
David Anthony	Brunel, Head of Finance	
Richard Fanshawe	Brunel, HPM	
Faith Ward	Brunel, CRIO	

	Agenda	Paper provided	Owner
1	Confirm agenda	Agenda	Chair
	Requests for AOB		
	Any new declarations of conflicts of	C of Interests	
	interest		
	Apologies were received from Denise Le G	al and Peter Wharf.	
	RG introduced Councillor Ray Bloxham (RB Devon's representative, and commented of the partnership was, and will continue to be work with Brunel.	on how successful he felt	
	Hywel Tudor (HT) was introduced as EAPF's supporting JS.	deputy representative	
	 <u>Conflicts of interest</u> NB's relationship with Cornwall has relationship with Cornwall has reference of the minutes, but not recorded in a Conflict of Interest. No AOB was received 	ommittee. This was noted	
2	Review 22 March BOB minutes		
		Minutes	Chair
	The March minutes were agreed and confi		Chair

•	Brunel reporting Business Case review update		
•	Private markets		
incluc	MT provided an overview of the Brunel update report which was included for noting. MT confirmed that any substantive items were included as stand-alone papers elsewhere on the agenda. Updates included:		
Upda			
incluc	ded as stand-alone papers elsewhere a tes included: Brunel has completed the custodiar required to call on the additional £2 Shareholders in November 2017. The the additional funds are therefore no Brunel. The terms of reference amendment the Shareholders. The passive transition commenced of funds and £6bn of assets. As the tran- final details are not yet known, but S estimated costs. The annual fee savi better than the original estimate (£1 the passive transition costs are estim expected (£1m vs £3m). A full written savings and finalised costs will be tal meeting post the completion of the Brunel is in the process of selecting of and Low Volatility equity managers. Brunel has begun working with the C case. The business case review is tim BOB at the September meeting. Development costs, prior to year-en for costs relating to development stor there were some late costs which m development costs (stages 3a&b ar underspend of £570k. MM noted tho	on the agenda. In transition without being 25k approved by a matter is now closed and o longer available to was 100% approved by on 11 th July 2018, includes 9 nsition is not fully complete 3C provided an update on ings are estimated to be .8m vs £1m). In addition, nated to be lower than in report confirming fee ken to the September passive transition. and appointing active UK CG to review the business netabled to come back to ad Brunel was under budget ages 3a&b. Post year end, neant that stage 3a&b budget, however, overall ad 3c) resulted in a total at often actions such as	
•	underspend of £570k. MM noted that appointing a transition manager can transition cost savings) but will reflect budget and therefore it is important mind when evaluating Brunel's costs The £340k for private markets has not incurred. This will be covered later up JS asked if papers can clarify wheth is Clients or Shareholders. RT confirm for members of BOB in their oversigh BOB members are Shareholders, not shareholders will be provided separe There were data breaches reported were included in appendix 6). The c quoted as human error, it was querie indication of a systematic cultural iss	n result in large savings (i.e. et a cost in the Company's to keep the full picture in s. of yet been agreed or nder item 5. er the intended audience red all papers at BOB are at capacity. Although some t all are. Papers for ately. I by State Street (details cause of the breaches was red whether this was an	

	 with State Street regarding the breach and State Street have reassured Brunel that they have further improved processes. It was noted the breach was not material in terms of data. The transition to State Street has not yet been fully completed. The delay is due to some markets not yet having been opened. Brunel do not see this as a material risk however are working with State Street and the CG to finalise the transition. Brunel has currently recruited to all posts in the business plan, however recruitment continues for any growth or turnover. The reported departure of a member of staff was discussed and her reasons for leaving noted; she has returned to her previous employer. KPIs are being developed between Brunel and the CG. A lot of the KPI's will rely on management information so as Brunel develops its policies and procedures these will be included in the service level document. RT asked how company management and investment manager oversight will be monitored through ensuring Brunel follow its stated procedures to appoint managers and manage risk. The internal audit report will give additional assurance. 	
4	Remuneration committee report RemCo report	ST/JW
	 JW presented the report and highlighted the recommendation. Brunel has reviewed the remuneration policy and is proposing 4 items of change. i. Maximum Chair and NED payments per annum, to be capped as set out in the report and reviewed by RemCo annually. ii. Recognition Awards, to be capped at a reduced level per award and in total, as set out in the report iii. Salary caps, to be linked to CPI. iv. External review, to be once every two years (word definition only). A query was received on the cap for the Chair and NED payments as when it was initially set up it was understood that costs would be higher in year 1 but this policy does not reflect any reduction in cost following year 1. JW responded that the requested policy is the cap for the Chair and NED and not the budget. The update is to ensure the remuneration policy includes the same wording as the contract letters already in place for greater transparency. It was clarified that the recognition awards are recognition of one off exceptional work and are not bonuses. 	

	 ii. The Oversight Board note that during the working within the Remuneration Policy These four items will be sent to Shareholders will be a selection box for each of the four it possibility of a vote against one item affect 	s to request approval. There items, to avoid any	MT/ 20 July
5	Private markets Business case for delivery 	Paper/ Presentation	DT/JW
	JW presented the paper which detailed a p supported would result in the issue of a Spe- Shareholders.		
	The business case was explained and JW commented that additional budget was needed, as set out in the report, to realise estimated total savings of £70m by 2036.		
	Brunel is proposing that it replaces the property multi-managers currently used by Funds as manager of the underlying portfolio of pooled property fund managers. Brunel is confident that it can do as good a job as the current multi-managers if it receives the additional budget. The private markets team have already shown their ability to replicate the service for lower cost by negotiating reduced management fees with two of the underlying funds.		
	It was asked if other pools were likely to follo pool has as many pooled property fund ho which currently have 146 underlying holding	Idings as the Brunel funds	
	To enable Brunel to take on the role additional resource is required particularly with respect to the procurement of an administrator, hence the requested additional budget.		
	JW confirmed that the budget provided had prudent basis and only accounts for 1 fund reduction. Brunel has since agreed a fee re manager and will continue to liaise with all managers to negotiate on fees, and given of a positive outcome.	manager's agreed fee eduction with another of the underlying	

The CG has worked with Brunel on the development of this model and has concluded that clients need to provide Brunel with the tools to realise the £70m saving to realise the business plan.

Brunel's estimates are based on significant market research. By the time the business plan is finalised Brunel will have firm costs as part of the procurement.

The resource required at Brunel to meet the current transition timetable is a significant risk to the pool and is reflected in the business plan. Resource constraints are being discussed with the CG.

There is an understanding that the costs will be split by total fund AUM. The intent is that the funds that use it will pay for the service but it is acknowledged that the pricing policy will need amending to reflect this. Brunel and the CG will be working on this to ensure that the split of costs is equitable.

Brunel has already interviewed for the additional post required to oversee the outsourced administrator and has a preferred candidate that will be offered the role provided BOB and shareholder support is received. Provided BOB support is received Brunel will commence the procurement process immediately however legal agreements will not be confirmed until after the shareholder vote is complete.

This proposed model which uses a third party administrator is appropriate for all private market asset classes and appropriate for all Funds, however it was noted that unlike any of the other Funds, Dorset hold property directly, and therefore they will not realise any meaningful savings in relation to property at this time, but will benefit from the delivery of the remaining Private Markets portfolios that will be provided through this model.

Third party administrator contract will likely be 5 years plus 5 and will be operated as a strategic partner. Brunel will have the ability to give notice if required. The administrator will be directly responsible to JW as COO.

BOB agreed to support the following recommendation:

The Oversight Board support the revised Private Markets business case and the issue of a Special Reserve Matter. The business case includes a 5% additional expenditure on the current year budget plus an ongoing cost thereafter. This expenditure enables material client fee savings to be achieved, which are otherwise at risk.

A Shareholder reserve matter will be sent out to Shareholders shortly.

MT/ 20 July

6	Voting and stock lending policies	Presentation	FW/MM
	Engagement session		
	Stock lending MM provided a summary of stock lending. some stock lending. State Street have estim which could be received from stock lendin of running Brunel (around £5m). State Stree and is included as part of the administratio There will be a single Brunel Stock lending p switched on and off on a portfolio by portfo on LGIM's cautious stock lending policy to FW is working with MM on the policy as the	nated that the income g is not dissimilar to the cost t will manage stock lending n contract. Policy which can be olio basis. Brunel is building create its own policy.	
	responsible investment issues, specifically a is confident that these issues can be incorp	round voting, however FW	
	It was asked how Funds will receive the inc transparent in the value of units. Each clien income derived from the stock lending of it portfolios with stock lending switched on. The the September BOB meeting .	nt will receive 100% of the ts proportional investment in	MM/ 27 Sep
	Stewardship policy The Stewardship policy will include Brunel's policies. Brunel is developing a UK policy ar stewardship manager for expertise in other a single Brunel voting policy. FW estimates 25,000 decisions per annum hence Brunel w manage this process on its behalf. Brunel w views from investment managers and will b LAPFF although will not be led by it. FW rep	nd will utilise the geographies. There will be that there will be around will employ a specialist to vill look to arbitrate differing be mindful of the view of	
	development of the Stewardship policy, wh policy being tabled at the September BOB	nich included the draft	FW/ 27 Sep
	Brunel requested that if there are any partic have views to share these with the team as		
7	Shareholder NED role and report	Update report	MT/ST
	ST provided an overview of his report, prais Brunel Company for so many achievement noted that in a survey at a LGPS conference which pool is best placed for long term suc	ts over the past year. ST ce last week it was asked	

	of the votes, the highest of any pool.	
	ST is concerned around resourcing of Brunel and believes the Company is operating at minimum requirements and acknowledges some key person risk. ST reiterated that Brunel has offered to attend committee meetings.	
	It was requested that Shareholder NED update is added as a standing agenda item for future BOB meetings.	JLT/ 27 Sep
	It was queried if there will be a key person risk report. DT noted that key person risk is currently included as a risk in the Brunel Business Report. The September Remuneration Committee meeting will include a session on resilience and succession planning.	
	There were several comments around the third bullet point in ST's report regarding the potential for streamlining Fund's investment strategies. There was a concern that this is being accepted as the future direction and a significant challenge to individual Fund's sovereignty. ST believes this is a future risk to the Funds and could be discussed at a later date.	
8	AOB	Chair/SC
	Future meeting dates	
	- 27 September 2018	
	 1 November 2018 Cross Pool Forum 27 March 2018 	
	CP forum reps (x3)	
	RT asked whether Brunel would provide a short note on equity protection in due course. MM agreed to consider this for a future meeting.	
	RT asked around the Hedge Fund portfolio. MM commented that there is a portfolio in the plan, but due the client demand and complexity of the asset class, it would near the end of the transition timetable. The plan will be developed over the coming months and years, and CG and BOB would kept informed of progress.	
	SC commented that the CP forum reps have not been officially requested yet so this item was deferred to the September meeting.	
	DA provided a hand out of the internal audit plan.	

Produced: JLT on 18/07/2018